

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/778,537 02/07/2001 John G. Noetzel DP-302911 (DEP-0152) 5043 11/17/2004 EXAMINER VINCENT A. CICHOSZ CHANEY, CAROL DIANE DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff Mail Code: 480-414-420 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 5052 1745 Troy, MI 48007-5052

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|--|
| | Office Action Summary | 09/778,537 | NOETZEL ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Carol Chaney | 1745 |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply | on appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address |
| - External e | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON | eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 26 4 | |
| 2a)□ | | <u>26 August 2004</u> . This action is non-final. | |
| | Since this application is in condition for all | This action is non-linal. hwance except for formal matte | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice und | der <i>Ex parte Quavle</i> . 1935 C.D. | rs, prosecution as to the merits is |
| | ion of Claims | | 11, 400 U.G. 210. |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-28 and 31-56 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 43-56 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 and 31-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are | ndrawn from consideration. | |
| Application | on Papers | | |
| 10)∟∫ T <i>F</i> F | The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the | accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s) | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| riority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12)□ A(a)□ 1 2 3. | cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burd the attached detailed Office action for a l | ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a)) | ication No ceived in this National Stage |
| ttachment(s) | 1 | | |
| Notice o | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 o(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 08) 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | nary (PTO-413) nil Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 1745

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-28 and 31-42, in the reply filed on 26 August 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that even though prosecution can be reopened in the case, actions on the merits including a final rejection, have been received, and no new claims were added. This is not found persuasive because prosecution on the case has been reopened, and the final rejection has been withdrawn. It is noted that the merits of the restriction *per se* have not been traversed.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-28 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al., US Patent 6,266,576 in view of Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook (Perry's).

Okada et al. disclose a method for controlling reformate delivered to fuel cell.

The system includes an electric generating managing means (7), which is a system controller. The electric generating managing means detects the pressure in reservoir

Application/Control Number: 09/778,537

Art Unit: 1745

tank (12) by a pressure sensor (see column 9, line 36) and thus receives a "reformate pressure signal". The electric generating managing means controls or actuates the variable valve (10). (See column 10, lines 39-42.) As shown in Fig. 1, the variable valve is actuated in response to reformate pressure and target (desired) reformate pressure (26). (See column 9, lines 27-52.)

The disclosure of Okada does not explicitly disclose the controller receiving a "controllable valve position signal." However, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand a conventional valve positioner would enable the variable valve disclosed by Okada to be controlled by the electric generating managing means. As illustrated in Perry's, such a positioner includes a stem-position feedback network, so a valve position signal is provided to the electric generating managing means. (See Perry's, page 8-69.)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Clingerman US Patent 6,306531 B1

Kawatsu US Patent 5,885,727 A

Vartanain et al., US Patent 5,059,494

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1284. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carol Chaney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

15 November 2004